



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ·		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,827	07/06/2001	Barry H. Schwab	•	VID-02202/29	8990
25006	7590 02/22/2006	,		EXAM	INER.
GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE & CITKOWSKI, P.C			ORGAD, EDAN		
PO BOX 702	21				
TROY, MI	TROY, MI 48007-7021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				2684	*

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummans	09/900,827	SCHWAB ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edan Orgad	2684				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u>	ovember 2005.					
——————————————————————————————————————	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
/	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 5-7</u> is/are pending in the application	ation					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3, 5-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
<u> </u>	Claim(s) israte objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
-	olocion roquiloment.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
		d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
occure attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/900,827

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 is indefinite because its not clear what is meant by "deleting a number after a call to that number has been completed". Specifically, which number is deleted and where is the number deleted from. Examiner is unclear as to what is the relationship between the deleting process and storing process, if there is any. Which number is deleted and where is it deleted from. Is the number, whichever number the claim may be referring to, completely deleted from the memory and if so, why would a user want a number deleted out of memory once that number is called. In other words, is applicant being his/her own lexicographer by using the word "deleting" to mean "skipping" or "deleting the entry of the call" as suggested by the specification (page 5, lines 8-16). There is a clear difference between "deleting a number" and "deleting the entry of the call".

Application/Control Number: 09/900,827 Page 3

Art Unit: 2684

Claim 3 recites the limitation "dialing the numbers" in line the 3rd line of the claim.

Furthermore, claim 3, recites the limitation "to that number" in line 6th line of the claim.

It is not clear which number applicant is referring to. There is no apparent correlation that creates

a direct relationship with "a number" or "telephone numbers" or "numbers" seen throughout the

claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Edan Orgad whose telephone number is 571-272-7884. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDAN ORGAD PATENT EXAMINER/TELECOMM.

10. 2/r/6